

above all, the ruin to the girl herself, who will as

go to prison, however the affair ends—for fornication; and who, if it go against them, will be proceeded against with the utmost rigor the law will permit? Whether she establishes her case or not, she is sure to be a loser. So, also, am I sure to lose by its defence, in the matter of dollars, anyhow. Religion, too, (but this is no object to me,) will not be a gainer by the ordeal. Everything makes me most anxious to have the thing quashed, even before any preliminary examination; and I would sacrifice \$1,000 to that end—so important is it under every

view. "Go around them. Why are they so fond of ~~trust~~
inter est as to be made mere tools of for the ~~social~~
gratification? You I think have still influence over ~~as~~
parties, and I to weeks to do something. Don't give up
the ship, but be cautious. Devotedly ever yours,
JNO J. DOHERTY.

N. B.—Don't ~~sp.~~ expense of travel, &c. I'll see ~~all~~
that right.

Dr. Alfred Lamber * was next called—I saw Miss Mon-
sieur after the birth of her child at the Springfield
hotel; am of the opinion that the child was full grown.

Cross-examined—The child I should think weighed

about eight pounds; I just grew from the bones of my head, and it says that it had attained maturity—have seen seven months' children—Mr. Webster was less consistency in their formation.

James Killian, who Mr. Doherty at the American hotel in November last, Mary Monaghan was with him; he attracted my notice by his strange conduct, appearing as if he was either intoxicated or deranged; he was loud and boisterous and called frequently upon the waiters, snapping his fingers as he did so; heard him order mulled wine.

Sheldon Webster—I was at the American Hotel in New

York, on the 15th of November last, and saw Mr. Doherty and Miss Morgan there, it was about eight o'clock in the morning, and the parties were at the breakfast table; defraudant made considerable merry, and had three or four waiters attending upon him at a time; saw him pour out wine and drink it, he called for a newspaper, and spread it over the dishes, and the rest of the feast; I should think the paper he had was the Herald.

The following letter was introduced:

MR. EDWARD MONAGHAN:—SIR:—May the moment received your letter. I remember Mary Ann Monaghan, as her character is recalled, and that (when he saved, as you say, by my statement of facts, I shall feel the greatest pleasure in making that statement. Here it is:—I arrived at Rev. J. J. Doherty's on Saturday evening, April

19, 1907. Rev. J. J. Doherty was away on one of his missions. He returned the following evening. I remained with him until Thursday, May 23, when I took the morning train for Albany. Mary Ann O'Keefe was at the train. J. J. Doherty then remained in Springfield. I remained there during my stay in Springfield. She was there the morning I left. How long before I arrived she was there or how long after I left I don't know. This is all I know, and I lose no time in sending it.

Springfield, O. June 6 1882. M. HOWARD

At this point the court adjourned till 2 1/2 o'clock, P. M.

The case was not resumed in the afternoon. At 5½ o'clock Mr. Ashman, as counsel for plaintiff, stated to the court that there was a prospect of an arrangement by the parties in this action, which would relieve the court and jury from its further consideration. The court thereupon dismissed the jury (11:55 o'clock) this morning, when "no arrangement shall have been made, the cause will proceed."

[From the Springfield (Mass.) Republican, June 13.]

The court came in on Thursday morning, when it was

the progress of the settlement on the case of Monaghan vs. DeBary had been such that no further trial would be necessary. The defendant stated that the terms of the settlement of the seduction suit of Monaghan vs. DeBary are that the defendant pays \$100.00 damages to the plaintiff and the costs of the suit, and signs a paper, setting forth that so far as he knows, there is no foundation for, or truth in, the reports unbecoming the chastity or virtue of the plaintiff's daughter, Miss Monaghan. In this connection, it should be fairly stated that the line of defence, had the trial gone on, was understood

to be an attack upon the girl's general character for chastity, and it was reported that a number of witnesses had been procured, who were to swear to alleged material facts to that purport. On the part of the plaintiff, further proceedings against the defendants including the suit for support of the child, which was the alleged fruit of the latter's connection with the former's daughter, are being prosecuted. It is reported that the defendants are to be forced to pay the expenses of the proceedings, and to have borne the cause of much excitement in the community. The evidence for the prosecution had created a natural, and even a healthy, fear that side of the case, and

there is a consequent feeling of disappointment that the case was not continued, and the jury allowed to render their judgment upon it. As to the reversed defendant, we had rather take less than add to the public feeling which is so strongly excited against him. And we can cheerfully say that, while during the several years of his ministrations amongst the Catholic population of this community, we have as our readers are aware, seen much in his general course and conduct, warmly and publicly to approve of, there has been little, if anything, in it which would warrant any such course.

Supreme Court-General Term.
On the Bench, Chief Justice Edmunds, Hon Judges Edwards, Mitchell and King.
JUNE 14.—Decisions.—*Thayer, Public Administrator, vs. Blanchard, Elymer, and others.*—Judgment of Superior Court affirmed.
Garrett J. Mott vs. Valentine Mott.—Judgment of Superior Court affirmed.
Jos. Crivellari vs. John Brown, receiver.—Judgment of

David S. Draper and J. E. Derelin vs. William Jones.—Judgment of Superior Court reversed, and venire de novo awarded. Costs to abide the event.

Wm. Jones, Sheriff, etc., Wm. C. Foster and Lewis Ballard.—Motion for new trial granted. Costs to abide the event.

Wm. Makim vs. D. M. and J. Anderson.—Motion for new trial denied, with costs.

Austin Sherman vs. Burr Wakenan.—Judgment of Su-

John C. Taylor—*Motion for new trial granted.* Costs to abide the event.

Comptroller Lyon and others vs. Mathew Marshall.—*Judgment of Common Pleas affirmed.*

Edes B. Brinkerhoff vs. Jos. Starkins.—*Judgment of Common Pleas reversed, and new trial awarded.* Costs to abide event.

Margaret Brick and others vs. James Stone.—*Motion to set aside report of referee granted.* Costs to abide the event.

Thos. C. Taylor vs. David R. Harlow and Sanford Pierson.—*Motion for new trial granted.* Costs to abide

Robert L. Cook and Horatio Forester vs. Henry W. T. Mail and Hippolyte Mail.—Judgment of Superior Court affirmed.

Wm. H. Sharp vs. Jasper F. Cooney.—Judgment of Common Pleas reversed, and new trial awarded. **Cooks**, to abide event.

Charles Dord vs. The People.—Judgment of General Sessions reversed.

The People vs. Thos. B. Dorsey.—Judgment of General Sessions affirmed.

Sackell's Harbor Bank vs. Lewis County Bank—**Notion** for new trial granted. Costs to abide the event.
 James Mason vs. Mary Jones and others—Decree of Vice-Chancellor affirmed so far as regards adding parties, and cause to stand over for that purpose.
 Farmers Loan and Trust Company vs. Jonathan Child—**Notion** for new trial denied, with costs.
 Wm. D. Thompson vs. Augustine Feltus—**Judgment** reversed, with costs.
 Chas. H. Carroll, adx. Daml. S. Carroll—**Judgment of**

Special Terms affirmed, with costs.

James F. Harris, vs. The People.—Judgment of General Session affirmed.

Delephane and Carter, ads. W. H. C. Wardell.—Demurreurs overruled, with leave to amend on payment of costs.

Edmund Edwards, vs. Joseph Frith.—Motion for new trial denied, with costs.

John Robinson, vs. William West.—Judgment of Superior Court, reversed, with costs in this Court and the Court below.

John C. Chaceman, vs. Chas. A. Griffin.—Judgment of

Special Term affirmed, with costs.
Heater Marsh, vs. John S. Breckinridge.—**Motion to amend bill of exceptions denied, with costs.**
Eliza Hone, vs. William Kent and others.—**Judgment of Special Term reversed, with all costs, and a decree to be entered that the net proceeds of the 9th edition of the Commentaries pass under the 5th clause of the testator's will.**
Kennys & Sampson, vs. Richards & Flansbury.—**Motion to set aside report of referee denied, with costs.**

Mary R. Stewart and others *vs.* Jos. Atkinson.—*Order of Special Term reversed, with costs.*
Benjn. Perine, *vs.* John H. Stewart, administrators.—*Decree of surrogate affirmed, with costs.*
Genl. W. Niles *vs.* the People.—*Judgment of General Sessions affirmed.*
Jos. H. Barker *vs.* Andrew Russell and others.—*Order of Special Term modified.*
John P. Van Rensselaer *vs.* Frank W. Walker.—*Order of Special Term reversed, without costs.*
Joseph C. Ashley *vs.* the People.—*Judgment of General*

Sessions reversed, and new trial awarded.
Bullock & Clifton vs. Dingman, Radine and others.—
 Motion to set aside report of referees denied, with costs.
Garvey & Lamar vs. Jas. Hamilton.—Order of Special
 Term affirmed, with costs.
Thos. L. Wells and others vs. Isaac Gibson and others.—
 Motion to strike cause from calendar denied.

SPECIAL TERM.
 Before Hon. Judge King.
 JUNE 14.—*DeWitts vs. Nicholas Crocker vs. H. &*

The People ex. rel. Robert J. Hicks against the Justices of the Supreme Court and the Circuit Judges of the First Circuit.

Quit.—Judgment against the relation with costs to appellants, and a writ of consultation awarded, authorizing the justices and the appellants to proceed in the matter prohibited by the writ of prohibition.

Julia Bennett.
TO THE EDITOR OF THE NEW YORK HERALD.
Sir—I beg to state I am not the authoress of the letter which appeared in the *New York Herald* this morning.

signed "Julia Bennett." You will attach this to
inserting this in your paper to-morrow morning.
I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
JULIA BENNETT.
BROOKMAN'S LIVERY, JUNE 18, 1861.